

#### **CESIS** Electronic Working Paper Series

Paper No. 464

## INNOVATION STRATEGIES, EXTERNAL KNOWLEDGE AND PRODUCTIVITY GROWTH

Christopher F Baum Hans Lööf Pardis Nabavi

April, 2018

The Royal Institute of technology
Centre of Excellence for Science and Innovation Studies (CESIS)
http://www.cesis.se

# Innovation Strategies, External Knowledge and Productivity Growth\*

Christopher F Baum (Boston College and DIW Berlin) Hans Lööf<sup>†</sup> (Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm) Pardis Nabavi (Ministry of Finance, Sweden)

April 16, 2018

#### **Abstract**

This paper studies firms' capability to recombine internal and local knowledge. It measures the outcome in terms of total productivity growth. Using Swedish data on commuting time for face-to-face contacts across all 290 municipalities, we employ a time sensitive approach for calculating localized knowledge within a municipality and and its close neighbors. Internal knowledge is captured by register data on firms' innovation intensity. The two sources of knowledge are modeled in a production function setting by discrete composite variables with different combinations of input factors. Applying the model on Swedish firm level panel data, we find strong evidence of differences in the capacity to benefit from external knowledge among persistent innovators, temporary innovators and non-innovators. The results are consistent regardless of whether innovation efforts are measured in terms of the frequency of patent applications or the level of R&D investment.

Keywords: Innovation strategies, localized knowledge, patents, TFP growth, panel

JEL Codes: C23, O31, O32

<sup>\*</sup>We thank two anonymous referees for their helpful and constructive comments on previous versions of the paper. The usual disclaimer applies.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Corresponding author: hans.loof@indek.kth.se

#### 1 Introduction

This paper addresses the question of how different levels and combinations of internal and external knowledge affect firms' productivity growth. Empirical studies mainly find that internal knowledge generation through innovation and external knowledge acquisitions are complements, and emphasize the importance of in-house capacity for absorbing external knowledge, consistent with seminal papers by Cohen & Levinthal (1989); Cohen & Levinthal (1990) and Rosenberg (1990). There is also a substantial amount of evidence that knowledge transactions and spillovers that influence firm performance can be linked to knowledge sources in the local and regional environment. However, research is less clear about mechanisms for the interplay of knowledge within the company and its geographical environment. The purpose of this paper is to contribute to increased insight into this process, and analyze how it influences firm growth.

The hypotheses we test in this paper are corollaries from the absorptive capacity literature, suggesting that a firm's external knowledge becomes useful when it is combined with internal knowledge and capabilities inside the firm. A large number of studies confirm that there are systematic differences between firms with regard to their level of commitment in innovation efforts, as well as their sustained recurrence of the engagement in renewal activities. Such differences remain persistent over time (Cefis & Orsenigo (2001); Klette & Kortum (2004); Peters (2009); Peters et al. (2013); Duguet & Monjon (2002)). The picture that emerges is that a large share of firms is not engaged in innovation activities, some firms are innovative only occasionally, whereas other firms remain persistently innovative over several years.

The literature provides various explanations for firms' selection into persistent innovation. One strand of the literature stems from evolutionary theory and emphasizes the importance of technological trajectories. Along the technological trajectory, firms learn by innovating and developing organizational competencies

(Raymond et al. (2010). Other explanations include the relationships between innovation and market power or financial constraints as selection mechanisms).

The novelty in our research is that we propose an approach that captures both the intensity of firm knowledge and the availability of external knowledge in the local milieu. To measure the closeness to external knowledge, we rely upon a model for knowledge accessibility suggested by Weibull (1976), which includes a time-sensitive parameter which can be applied for measuring a firm's accessibility to external knowledge. For each firm in a local economy (municipality) we calculate this firm's accessibility to external knowledge: (i) inside the own municipality, (ii) outside the municipality but inside its own functional region, and (iii) outside its functional region. Adding these accessibility measures together for a given local economy provides a measure of the potential opportunities of a firm in the local economy. The paper uses accessibility to knowledge-intensive producer services, KIPS, as a proxy for the mass or amount of influential external knowledge. Knowledge intensity is measured by the fraction of the employees with three years university education or more. We assume that this measure captures both intentional knowledge transactions and pure knowledge externalities, especially because KIPS represent activities designed for creation, exchange and transfer of knowledge. In addition, we assume that the capacity of firms to absorb external knowledge is closely correlated with their internal recurring innovation activities. Both KIPS and other producer services represent a growing share of all jobs in the economy, with the largest share in urban agglomerations. This process of growth is stimulated by outsourcing processes in which companies externalize both standard routine services and specialized knowledge services, as well as an overall increased demand for knowledge in manufacturing and service production.

Producer services can affect the performance of other firms in two ways. First, a higher proportion of producer services promote efficient resource allocation,

which is then reflected in higher productivity of individual firms and the whole economy. Second, a firm's interaction with knowledge-intensive service suppliers improves the firm's capacity to develop new technology and introduce new products and processes. One reason for this is that since the mission of knowledge intensive service firms is to sell their services and specialized knowledge to more than one client company. With such a sales strategy, novel concepts and solutions are indirectly transmitted from one customer to another.

For each local economy (municipality), our data set contains information on both the number of employed people in knowledge-intensive producer services, the aggregate wage bill of these employees, the number of people commuting to other local economies, and the time distance to other local economies.

For the producer-service provider, the functional region where the firm is located is the home market, inside which the average time interval to customers is 20–30 minutes. Distances to customers in other regions are generally at least two to three times larger. Delineating three groups of regions, we observe that the proportion of KIPS is much higher in large urban regions than in medium-sized and small regions, and the accessibility is twice as high for local economies in metropolitan regions as for local economies in the medium-sized regions and small regions.

Internal knowledge factors in this paper are the cumulated result of a firm's recurring engagement in knowledge creation efforts: R&D and innovation activities. In this context we identify three categories of innovation strategies: persistent, recurring R&D engagement, occasional R&D efforts, and no R&D efforts.

In order to capture each firm's innovation engagement, we use two alternative methods to observe and measure the sustainability over time of a firm's innovation activities. The first approach is to observe and count a firm's national and international patent applications over a sequence of years. The advantage with this measure is that it is observable for all firms along time. The disadvantage is

that most innovative activities do not result in any patent or patent application. The second approach is to apply information from the Community Innovation Surveys (CIS), in which data from the EU member states are collected on a regular basis with harmonized information (OECD, 2005). The attractiveness of the CIS data is that it includes information on the sustainability of the intramural R&D, as well as extramural R&D such as purchase of machinery and equipment and consultancy services. The drawback here is that the reported R&D engagement only covers a three-year period.

Estimating the economic model with a dynamic GMM estimator, our main results are as follows: (i) The local milieu and the external knowledge potential have no additional productivity growth impact on firms with low internal knowledge; (ii) The growth rate of total productivity is only weakly associated with external knowledge for firms with occasional innovation efforts; (iii) The growth rate of total productivity is strongly associated with external knowledge for firms with persistent innovation efforts; (iv) In all location categories, productivity growth increases with firms' innovation activity The estimation results produce strong evidence of differences in the capacity to benefit from external knowledge among persistent innovators, temporary innovators and non-innovators. The results are consistent regardless of whether innovation efforts are measured in terms of the frequency of patent applications or the rate of R&D investment.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. The next section discusses the relevant literature on internal and external knowledge. Section 3 formulates the hypotheses to be tested and introduce the testing strategy while data is presented in Section 4. Section 5 reports results and interprets the main findings, and Section 6 concludes.

## 2 A brief background from the literature

The importance of innovation for sustained growth is well established in the academic literature by Aghion et al. (1998). An early recognition of innovation and technology as engines of growth is the contribution of Schumpeter (1934), arguing that without innovations the market economy would settle in a stationary Walrasian equilibrium. The Schumpeterian view also considers the opportunity of other firms to imitate those firms that have reached a higher productivity level. Adoption processes of this kind could work against heterogeneity. The idea that other firms respond to ideas developed by competitors is a fundamental aspect of the neoclassical theory resembling various versions of Darwinian adjustments (Vega-Redondo (2003)). Empirical research in the Schumpeterian tradition has established several stylized and commonly accepted facts questioning the neoclassical prediction on convergence. These facts include persistent performance heterogeneity and path dependency. Some firms are clearly above average, whereas others are inferior, and that this patterns remains over fairly long time periods. For a review of this literature, see Dosi & Nelson (2010).

Recent studies on firm heterogeneity distinguish between capabilities and technical solutions. The former refer to a firm's capacity to build up renewal capabilities and maintain a resource that includes renewal skills of employees, routines for organization of R&D and efforts to access external knowledge. Firm capabilities also include links to other actors for knowledge accession and collaboration. Technical solutions relate product attributes, production processes and routines, and interaction approaches vis-à-vis input suppliers and customers. For a discussion, see Foss (1996) and Antonelli (2006). A major message from this literature is that firms' capabilities differentiate firms. Capabilities take time to develop, require recurrent maintenance, and they are difficult and costly to imitate (Teece (2010)). Moreover, capabilities partly develop as a side effect of a firm's renewal activities, including phenomena like learning by doing (Nelson & Winter

(1982); Cohen & Levinthal (1989); Phene & Almeida (2008). The outcome of the renewal activities is expanded capabilities and enlargement of the firm's technical solutions. Thus, differences in firms' capabilities and internal knowledge resources help explain heterogeneity among firms regarding innovation and imitation/adoption (within firms and across firms) as well as productivity growth.

What about technical solutions? Johansson & Lööf (2014) suggest that firm capabilities determine more than the firm's capacity and its likelihood to succeed in its innovation efforts. They also sharpen adaptability about technical solutions, irrespective of whether they are related to internal or external knowledge about product design, customer preferences, and adjustments of deliveries and the like. The key issue is that the firm has to rely on its internal capabilities to transform technical solutions to productivity growth in an additional creative step.

Concerning knowledge generated outside the firm, this can be accessed by a firm in many different ways. The knowledge may be purchased or transferred according to a license contract, it can move into the firm through new employees who bring with them know-how and knowledge about technical solutions from places where they have worked earlier in their career, and it can spill over from collaborative efforts with other firms and research organizations such as universities.

Besides knowledge flows from the local or regional milieu, the literature also considers knowledge flows through long-distance links of international networks such as imports from input suppliers or export to customers abroad and transnational links for R&D collaboration with firms abroad. However, recent research in the geography of innovation has established several stylized facts including that knowledge spillovers are typically geographically localized (Feldman (2003)) and fade with distance. This literature is further enriched by studies on technology and market relatedness in the local knowledge milieu (Cassiman & Veugelers (2006*a*)).

Several studies on spillovers suggest a growing productivity potential from local supply of business service due to knowledge spillovers. However, the business service industry consists of a wide variety of firms with different role in the economy. Duranton & Puga (2005) distinguish between three broad categories of business services: standard business (e.g. banking or equipment leasing), sophisticated business services (e.g. research and development) and routinized business services (e.g. call centres). Only the former two are assumed to benefits from geographical proximity and the business potential is related to complementary skills among customers. They can be categorized as knowledge-intensive business services. In this paper we narrow the scope to providers of knowledge-intensive producer services. Producer services generally represent market-supporting services that improve the allocative efficiency of the economy and thus enhance productivity of individual firms. Buyers of these services will benefit because firms within this industry seek to sell their services and specialized knowledge to more than one client company. This implies that they are indirectly transmitting novel concepts and solutions from one customer to another.

There are several papers in different strands of the literature that are close to our study. Lychagin et al. (2016) use U.S. firm level panel data to assess how geographical, technological and product market spillovers contributes to productivity, and find that geography is important for productivity. A number of prior papers have also studied the complementarities between internal knowledge and external knowledge acquisitions. This research supports the assumption that all firms in a local milieu such as a cluster or an agglomeration may not benefit from access to a high concentration of specialized, supplemented or varied knowledge diffused through voluntary (mostly pecuniary) and involuntary mechanisms. Contributors to this literature include Feldman (2003), Conte & Vivarelli (2005), Cassiman & Veugelers (2006b), Love & Roper (2009), Antonelli et al. (2013), Lööf & Johansson (2014), and Antonelli & David (2015). For an additional

contribution and a survey of the field of research, see Antonelli & Colombelli (2015).

Studying complementary between absorptive capacity and external knowledge, a main message from the literature is that firms near the knowledge frontier will benefit more from external advances in knowledge than other firms. At sufficiently low levels of absorptive capacity, firms might not be able to learn anything from even a rich external knowledge milieu and the "multiplier effect" of potential spillovers is nil.

Recent studies provide evidence for the thesis that the importance of access to external knowledge tends to increase in a knowledge-based innovation-driven economy. In their survey of literature on knowledge spillovers and local innovation, Breschi & Lissoni (2001) argue that when firms are constantly innovating, there is a need to be close to a constellation of allied firms and specialized suppliers to smooth input-output linkages.

Building on the literature reviewed briefly above, the next section formulates the hypotheses we will test empirically using two different sets of Swedish firm level data.

## 3 Empirical Strategy

The general approach of this paper is the following. First, we group the observed Swedish firms into three categories reflecting their internal knowledge. Second, the external knowledge potential of each firm is also arranged into three categories. These two steps allow us to classify the firms into nine different categories.

In category one, there are firms that do not engage in any innovation activity at all (i.e., patent applications in one of the samples, and R&D in the other sample), and we assume their internal accumulated knowledge to be low. The second consists of firms occasionally conducting innovation activities. Their ac-

cumulated knowledge is classified as medium. Firms in final category are persistently engaged in innovation efforts, and consequently they are considered to have a high level of accumulated knowledge. The three categories are labeled  $I_1$ ,  $I_2$  and  $I_3$ , respectively. Correspondingly, the firms are classified into three different groups depending on the availability of knowledge intensive producer services in their vicinity. The three categories are designated  $K_1$ ,  $K_2$  and  $K_3$ . Our indicator for potential external knowledge also captures the presence of other knowledge sources such as universities, research institutes, and high-technology firms.

Based on these groupings of firms, we construct nine combinatorial categories, as illustrated in Table 4. At one extreme, we find firms with low internal knowledge and low external knowledge potential ( $\gamma_{11} = I_1K_1$ ), and the firm at the other extreme has high internal knowledge intensity and high external knowledge potential ( $\gamma_{33} = I_3K_3$ ). This formulation enables us to clarify the importance of each IK combination. We may, for example, investigate if a strong knowledge potential can compensate for a low level of internal knowledge. We can also determine if firms with persistent innovation efforts can compensate for a low level of external knowledge potential.

In order to test the relationship between firms' innovation strategies and knowledge spillovers in the local milieu, we formulate four hypotheses. The first hypothesis refers to the combinatorial categories in the  $I_1$  row, comprising firms with a low level of internal knowledge. More formally:

H1: There is no difference in TFP growth across locations for firms that belong to the  $I_1$  group (low degree of internal knowledge), which implies that the local milieu and the external knowledge potential have no additional impact on firms with low internal knowledge. Thus,  $\gamma_{11} = \gamma_{12} = \gamma_{13}$ .

Our second hypothesis concerns the  $I_2$  row in Table 4, consisting of firms that make occasional R&D efforts:

H2: There is a difference in the TFP growth for firms that belong to the  $I_2$  classification, such that  $\gamma_{23} > \gamma_{22} > \gamma_{21}$ . Thus, the growth rate of firms with occasional occasional innovation efforts increases with access to external knowledge potential.

The third group of firms,  $I_3$ , is comprised of persistent R&D innovators, and the following hypothesis applies for these firms:

H3: There is a difference in the TFP growth for firms that belong to the  $I_3$  classification, such that  $\gamma_{33} > \gamma_{32} > \gamma_{31}$ . Similar to the H2 hypothesis, the *a priori* assumption is that the growth rate of firms with persistent engagement in innovation is an increasing function of access to external knowledge potential.

Our remaining hypotheses consider only innovative firms. If such firms have the same external potential, we examine if persistent innovators are superior to occasional innovative firms. To accomplish this, we make pairwise comparisons between elements in the  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  and  $I_3$  rows.

H4: Persistent innovative firms have higher TFP growth than firms with occasional R&D efforts, such that  $\gamma_{33} > \gamma_{23}$ ,  $\gamma_{32} > \gamma_{22}$ , and  $\gamma_{31} > \gamma_{21}$ . For all categories of location, there is always a positive improvement in TFP growth from more internal knowledge.

To quantify the relationship between productivity and the input components of interest, we apply an approach aimed at capturing the effect of a particular category of combined knowledge sources on TFP growth, conditioned on the growth in the previous period and the TFP level in the previous period.

Total factor productivity growth is estimated in two steps. Following Levinsohn & Petrin (2003), we first compute TFP as the residual of the Cobb–Douglas production function, where the value added of the firm is the dependent variable and labor inputs (divided into highly educated and unskilled labor), material and physical capital are used as the determinants. In the next step, the growth of TFP is estimated as a function of determinants inside and outside the firm as follows:

$$\Delta \log TFP_{i,t} = \alpha_0 + [I_m K_n] \gamma_{mn} + \beta_1 \Delta \log TFP_{i,t-1} + \beta_2 \log TFP_{i,t-1} + \beta_3 \Delta \log SIZE_{it} + \beta_4 OWN_{it} + \beta_5 SECTOR_{it} + \mu_i + \tau_t + \varepsilon_{it}$$
(1)

where i indexes the firm, t the year, I is a vector of innovation indicators, K is a vector of external knowledge indicators, m is internal innovation intensity, n is external knowledge intensity,  $\Delta$  logTFP is the annual growth rate of total factor productivity, TFP is the level of total factor productivity,  $\Delta$  logSIZE is employment growth, and OWN is a set of corporate ownership indicators. Additionally, TFP growth depends on the sector, and we distinguish between seven manufacturing and service sectors. The firm and year-specific effects are denoted by  $\mu$  and  $\tau$ , respectively. Finally,  $\varepsilon$  is the idiosyncratic error term.

We observe firms in the data, not corporations (consolidated firms). This implies that a firm can only be assigned to one single municipality. However, the regression analysis control for corporate ownership and distinguishes between independent firm, firms belonging to a domestic group, firms belonging to a national multinational and firms belong to a foreign-owned group.

The key coefficients of interest are  $\gamma_{mn}$ , which determine the response of productivity growth to nine combinations of internal and external knowledge. It is useful to note that the key variable IK for firm i is constant over the period we observe. The I-classification builds on the frequency of innovation efforts during the observed period, which means that it does not vary between years. The K-classification is based on the knowledge intensity of the firm's location, which is close to 100% identical between year t and year t+1 according to the transition matrix reported in Table 3. However, to eliminate the potential bias due to movement of productive firms from high knowledge intensity or the vice versa, we only consider firms that do not change location between regions with different external knowledge intensity.

Based on a procedure proposed by Papke & Wooldridge (2005), we also compute the coefficients and standard errors for long-run effects. The long-run effect is a nonlinear function of the coefficients of the explanatory variables and the lagged dependent variable in Equation (1). This is an alternative method to obtain a standard error for the long-run effect in a dynamic panel data model.

To estimate Equation (1), we use the two-step system GMM estimator developed by Arellano & Bover (1995) and Blundell & Bond (1998). This approach combines equations in differences of the variables with equations in levels of the variables. The validity of the instruments in the model is evaluated with the Sargan—Hansen test of overidentifying restrictions whereas the Arellano–Bond AR(2) test is used for identifying possible second-order serial correlation.

An advantage with the system GMM estimator is that it requires fewer assumptions about the underlying data-generating process compared to the maximum likelihood estimator, and uses more complex techniques to isolate useful information (Roodman (2009)). The estimator allows for a dynamic process, with current realizations of the TFP variable influenced by past TFP, and some regressors may be endogenous. Moreover, the system GMM estimator also accounts for individual specific patterns of heteroskedasticity and serial correlation of the idiosyncratic part of the disturbances.

To measure the intensity of external knowledge, we apply a model for knowledge accessibility suggested by Weibull (1976) and developed by Johansson & Klaesson (2011). The model identifies locations i and j, and the time distance (commuting time) between each pair of locations (municipalities). For each location, the associated measure of total knowledge K (total R&D, number of universities, educated workers, etc.) is computed. For any firm in location i, the firm's distance-discounted knowledge potential with regard to  $K_j$  is defined as

$$M_{ij} = \exp\left\{-\lambda t_{ij}\right\} K_j \tag{2}$$

where  $\lambda$  is an estimated parameter expressing time sensitivity for making face-to-face contact between individuals (workers) in two locations. If the face-to-face contact is within the same location, the firm's distance-discounted knowledge potential is expressed as

$$M_{ii} = \exp\left\{-\lambda t_{ii}\right\} K_i \tag{3}$$

The entire external knowledge potential for firms in location i is calculated as

$$M_i = \exp \sum_{i=1}^{N=290} \exp \{-\lambda t_{ij}\} K_j$$
 (4)

Note that aggregation is over municipalities, and Sweden has precisely 290 municipalities. This implies that equation (4) can be used for estimating every firm's accessibility to knowledge in their own focal municipality and in all other municipalities. With this model and data on commuting time between each municipality in Sweden, we calculate each firm's accessibility to external knowledge: (i) inside the own municipality, (ii) outside the municipality but inside the own functional region, and (iii) outside the own functional region. Adding these accessibility measures together for a given local economy provides a measure of the potential opportunities of a firm in the local economy.

In our empirical investigation, we use manufacturing and service firm-level data provided by Statistics Sweden. The database contains accounting information on all firms in Sweden, information on the educational background and wages of their employees and location of the firms. In order to quantify external knowledge potential at the firm level using equation (2)–(4), we first identify 35 Swedish knowledge-intensive producer service industries in which the share of employees with university degrees is over 30 percent. The industries are reported in Table A.1 in the appendix. We then use firms' accessibility to producer services as a proxy for the availability of external knowledge. The measure is constructed from the aggregate earnings, or wage bill, for each of the producer service industries in Sweden's 290 municipalities. The larger aggregate earnings,

the larger amount of external knowledge in a particular municipality. We then classify Sweden into different categories of geographical knowledge intensity by splitting all firms with one or more employees into three groups of equal size. One third of the firms are located in the 25 municipalities with the highest level of knowledge intensity. An additional third are found in 78 municipalities which we classify as having medium access to potential external knowledge, and the remaining third of the firms are located in 187 municipalities with the lowest level of knowledge intensity.

Our accessibility measurements are more detailed compared to alternative methods such as metropolitan areas, as it may also include knowledge-intensive environments outside big cities.

#### 4 Data and variables

We form two different panels of firms to test the hypotheses on the combination of internal and external knowledge presented in Section 3. In the first panel, the patent panel, we have matched patent data to the entire population of firms in the Swedish business sector. In the second panel, we match R&D data from the Community Innovation Survey (CIS) to a selected group of firms. Both panels are restricted to firms with at least 10 employees.

For the patent panel, we use information from the European Patent Office's worldwide patent statistical database (PATSTAT) complemented with data from the Swedish Patent Office. The panel consists of 35,108 unique firms, approximately 1,600 of which applied for at least one patent between 1997 and 2008.

The CIS panel considers only those firms that participated in at least two of three consecutive Community Innovation Surveys (CIS) for 2004, 2006 and 2008. The matched data contain 2,539 unique firms. Both panels are unbalanced, and the second is observed only for the 2000–2008 period. More than 99 per cent of firms remain in one place over any two consecutive years, so we only use

the data on firms that did not change their location in the period of study. We also estimated using the full sample. The results are similar and available upon request.

Using patent applications, we classify firms as persistent innovators, occasional innovators and non-innovators based on observations over the entire 12-year period in the patent panel.

An obvious limitation of employing CIS data in a panel setting is that almost all the information pertains only to particular years. One of the few exceptions is the frequency of R&D engagement, where the perspective comprises the most recent three-year period. However, such a period is also too short for the purposes of our research. To extend this information, we construct a data set from three different waves of the CIS survey. In the resulting CIS panel, 40% of firms are observed in all three surveys, and 60% are observed in two surveys. With overlapping data from the three surveys, we can observe the selected firms' innovation strategies over a minimum of 5–7 years.

The observations for the years 1997–1999 are utilized to create lags of the dependent variables. It should be noted that the panel is unbalanced in the sense that we include two voluntary surveys and one compulsory survey, which can cause some selection bias. For instance, the fraction of innovators is 31% in the CIS 2008 data and 54%, on average, in the CIS 2004 and 2006 data.

Columns 1, 3 and 5 in Table 1 present summary statistics for the patent panel, with firms separated into three groups reflecting their long-term innovation strategies. If a firm applied for at least one patent annually during six or more years, we categorize the firm as a persistent innovator. If it applied for at least one patent annually during 1-5 years, we consider it an occasional innovator. Firms with no patent applications are classified as non-innovators. For a robustness check, a threshold of 8 years instead of 6 years is also considered. The results are similar.

Table 1, columns 2, 4 and 6 reports the summary statistics for firms observed

in the CIS surveys. We classify a firm as a persistent innovator period if it is reported to be a persistent R&D investor in at least two out of the three CIS surveys. Moreover, the firm is classified as non-innovative if it is never reported to be R&D-active. All other firms are considered to be occasional innovators.

In the patent panel, which includes all the approximately 35,000 relevant firms in Sweden, 95% are classified as non-innovative, 4% are classified as occasional innovators and 1% are classified as persistent innovators. In the CIS panel, 45% of firms are defined as non-innovative, 38% are occasional innovators and 17% are persistent innovators.

Consistent with our assumptions based on the literature review in Section 2, the mean values of most variables differ for persistently innovative firms compared with firms with no innovation activity or only temporary engagement. Persistently innovative firms are larger than occasionally innovative firms, they have more physical capital, and higher intensities of human capital as well. They are also more likely to belong to multinational groups.

The summary statistics shows only minor differences in TFP growth between firm categories in both panels. As could be expected, persistent innovators are more oriented toward high technology and medium-high technology than other firms.

Table 2 displays the distributions of the 66,719 observed patent applications across markets, firm sizes, corporate ownership groups and sectors. The vast majority of patent applications are related to firms with more than 100 employees, a large fraction of which are multinational enterprises (MNEs). Domestic MNEs account for nearly 60 per cent of the applications, and foreign-owned MNEs account for 35 per cent. The most patent-intensive sectors are high and mediumhigh technology firms in the manufacturing sector. Knowledge-intensive services are more likely to apply for patents than are low or medium-low technology manufacturing firms.

#### 5 Estimation results

Table 5 presents estimates of Equation (1) using a two-step dynamic GMM estimator with total factor productivity growth (TFP) as the dependent variable. Columns 1 and 2 report short- and long-run estimates for the sample that include the entire population of firms with an average of 10 or more employees over the period 1997–2008, whereas Columns 3 and 4 report the corresponding estimates for the CIS population, which is restricted to a stratified sample with a firm size of 10 or more employees in the year of the surveys.

The presentation of the parameter estimates is organized in three different panels. In the first panel, rows 1–3 show results for non-innovative firms. In the second panel, rows 4–6 show coefficients for temporary innovators. The third panel presents TFP growth with respect to persistently innovative firms in different locations in rows 7–9.

#### 5.1 Basic results

Using  $\gamma_{11}$  in Table 5 as reference, the point estimates for non-innovative firms located in regions with more access to knowledge ( $\gamma_{12}$  and  $\gamma_{13}$ ) are small in absolute value and statistically significant (and negative) only in the patent panel for the non-innovative firms located in regions with a medium intensity of external knowledge.

The coefficient estimates for temporary innovators ( $\gamma_{21}$ ,  $\gamma_{22}$ ,  $\gamma_{23}$ ) are positive and significantly larger than the reference alternative in the patent panel. The size of the estimates is 0.017, 0.015 and 0.047 for firms in regions with low-, medium- and high access to external knowledge, respectively. The implication is that firms engaging in renewal activities occasionally always have higher productivity growth compared to non-innovative companies, regardless of location. However, this result applies only to the patent panel. The CIS panel results suggest that occasionally innovative companies must be located in the most knowledge-

intensive environments in order to benefit from external knowledge. The effect is only significant at the lowest level of significance.

The final set of results presented in Table 5 concerns TFP growth among persistent innovators ( $\gamma_{31}$ ,  $\gamma_{32}$ ,  $\gamma_{33}$ ). Rows 7–9 provide a consistent picture for both samples. First, this category of firms has higher productivity growth than other companies if they are located in environments with medium or high availability of knowledge. Second, productivity growth of persistent innovators increases with accessibility to external knowledge. The magnitude of the estimate for persistent innovators in location with low access to external knowledge is 0.047 in the patent sample and 0.062 in the CIS sample. The estimate increases to about 0.09 in both samples for firms located in areas with medium level of external knowledge. When the innovator is located in locations with high accessibility, the point estimate for the patent sample is 0.140, and 0.119 in the CIS sample.

Table 5 also presents the long-run estimates for the two samples, given in Columns 2 and 4, and these results are fully consistent with the short-run estimates in Columns 1 and 3.

Examining the covariates displayed in Table 5, we find negative signs for both the level and growth of TFP in the previous year. While the former indicates a tendency to convergence in line with predictions from growth theory, the latter deserves some comments. Why is growth in a given year a negative function of last year's growth rate in our data? There might be a possibility that firms in general simply follow a quiet-life behavior pattern. Hence, the improvement in the performance yesterday reduces the incentives for firms to invest their efforts in better performance (growth) today. Instead they decide to enjoy the fruits of their earlier activities. For a discussion on similar findings, see Hashi & Stojčić (2013).

Turning to other controls, the table report positive coefficient estimates for firms, but significantly different from zero only in the CIS sample. As could be expected, multinational firms have a higher growth rate than other firms, ceteris paribus.

The test statistics are reported in the lower part of Table 5. We use lags up to t-4 as instruments for the regression in differences in both panels and lagged differences dated t-1 for the regression in levels in the patent panel and t-3 in the CIS panel. This results in 112 instruments in the patent panel regression and 104 instruments in the CIS panel regressions, which are both within a reasonable range. The AR(2) test does not detect second-order autocorrelation in the first-differenced residuals in both regressions. Otherwise, the GMM estimator could be inconsistent. The Hansen J test of overidentifying restrictions confirms that the instruments are valid, and the difference-in-Hansen test confirms that the additional instruments required for systems estimation are valid for the two regressions

Overall, the results in Table 5 indicate a strong, positive relationship between internal and external knowledge for innovators. This conclusion applies regardless of the proxy for innovative activity. In a sensitivity test, we also include financial services among the controls. The results are found to be robust to the exclusion of financial services.

In Appendix Table A2, we also report results from a pooled OLS model. Although the pooled OLS estimator suffers from Nickell bias, omitted variable bias and potential endogeneity, the results are fairly consistent with the Arellano–Bond estimates.

#### 5.2 Hypothesis tests

To evaluate the quantitative importance of the  $\gamma$  coefficients in detail, we conduct a Wald t-test on the equality of conditional means and a joint F-test for the hypotheses in Table 6. The multiple t-tests are reported with Bonferroni-adjusted p-values. The results for the hypothesis that  $\gamma_{11} = \gamma_{12} = \gamma_{13}$ , i.e. that the local

milieu and the external knowledge potential have no additional impact on firms with low internal knowledge are presented in the upper part of the table. Rows 1–3 show t-test and F-test statistics for pairwise comparisons, and the joint test for the entire hypothesis is reported in row 4. The joint test shows that hypothesis cannot be rejected for the CIS panel, while the case it the opposite for the patent panel: localization influences the growth rates of non-innovative firms. However, taking the limited differences in the parameter estimates reported in Table 5 into account, our overall conclusion is that Hypothesis 1 cannot be rejected.

Our second prediction, H2, is that the growth rate of a occasionally innovative firm increases with access to external knowledge,  $\gamma_{23} > \gamma_{22} > \gamma_{21}$ . The joint test shows that the null alternative of equality of conditional means is rejected when equation (1) is estimated on the patent panel. This result cannot be confirmed when the model is estimated on the smaller CIS panel.

The third hypothesis predicts that the growth rate of persistently innovative firm increases with access to external knowledge:  $\gamma_{33} > \gamma_{32} > \gamma_{31}$ . The result is confirmed for both panels by the joint test which considers the entire hypothesis. The pairwise comparison shows the strongest results for the patent panel. All three estimates are significantly different from zero. The results in the CIS panel are weaker. They suggest that persistent innovators only benefit from their location in high accessibility areas.

Our final prediction, H4, considers only innovators and hypothesizes that persistently innovative firms have higher TFP growth than firms with occasional R&D efforts in all three categories of locations, such that  $\gamma_{33} > \gamma_{23}, \gamma_{32} > \gamma_{22}, \gamma_{31} > \gamma_{21}$ . This implies that a positive return to improvement of internal knowledge always applies for all categories of location for innovative firms. The prediction is strongly confirmed in both panels, with the only weak indication arising from companies in an area with limited external knowledge.

What then are the common observations in the three tables? Table 5, Table

6 and Table A2 in the Appendix reveal four regularities that persist in alternative specifications and estimates. First, the differences in the coefficient estimates among non-innovators in different locations are negligible. Second, our evidence that occasionally innovative companies grow faster in a knowledge-intensive environment is weak, especially regarding the CIS sample. Third, the growth rates for persistently innovative firms increase with accessibility to external knowledge. Finally, we find a positive return to improvement of internal knowledge for all categories of location for innovative firms.

#### 6 Conclusions

This paper suggests an approach for quantifying the extent of potential external knowledge across regions and linking this potential to local firms' innovation strategies. We model knowledge inputs in a production function approach by using a discrete composite variable with different combinations of the intensity of knowledge from within and from outside the firm. Using Swedish firm level panel data, we apply the model to test hypotheses of the complementarity between absorptive capacity and external knowledge derived from the literature. The estimation results produce strong evidence on heterogeneity across firms in their capacity to benefit from external knowledge. External knowledge potential in the local milieu has no additional productivity growth impact on firms with low internal knowledge. The growth rate of total productivity is only weakly associated with external knowledge for firms with occasional innovation efforts. In contrast, the productive growth of persistent innovators is associated with access to external knowledge. The results are consistent regardless of whether innovation efforts are measured in terms of patents or the rate of R&D investment.

There are several limitations of this study that can become questions for future research. First, knowledge flows not related to the nearby milieu are not explicitly addressed in this paper, except for the effect associated with multinational company groups. Recently Cantwell & Piscitello (2015) used openness of the regional industry and the regional economy to capture global knowledge diffusion, while other papers apply methods such as trade statistics, patent citations and strategic alliances. A second issue that deserves a more subtle analysis is the nature of internal mechanisms for creating and maintaining conduits to the external environment that facilitate knowledge flows to the firm.

#### References

- Aghion, P., Howitt, P., Brant-Collett, M. & García-Peñalosa, C. (1998), *Endogenous growth theory*, MIT press.
- Antonelli, C. (2006), 'The business governance of localized knowledge: an information economics approach for the economics of knowledge', *Industry and Innovation* **13**(3), 227–261.
- Antonelli, C. & Colombelli, A. (2015), 'External and internal knowledge in the knowledge generation function', *Industry and Innovation* **22**(4), 273–298.
- Antonelli, C., Crespi, F. & Scellato, G. (2013), 'Internal and external factors in innovation persistence', *Economics of Innovation and New Technology* **22**(3), 256–280.
- Antonelli, C. & David, P. (2015), The Economics of Knowledge and the Knowledge Driven Economy, Routledge.
- Arellano, M. & Bover, O. (1995), 'Another look at the instrumental variable estimation of error-components models', *Journal of Econometrics* **68**(1), 29–51.

  URL: http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-58149364940&partnerID=tZOtx3y1
- Blundell, R. & Bond, S. (1998), 'Initial conditions and moment restrictions in dynamic panel data models', *Journal of Econometrics* **87**(1), 115–143.

  URL: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0304407698000098
- Breschi, S. & Lissoni, F. (2001), 'Knowledge spillovers and local innovation systems: A critical survey', *Industrial and Corporate Change* **10**(4), 975–1005.

  URL: http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-0035213873&partnerID=tZOtx3y1

Cantwell, J. & Piscitello, L. (2015), 'New Competence Creation in Multinational Company Subunits: The Role of International Knowledge', *The World Economy* **38**, 231–254.

**URL:** http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/twec.12175

Cassiman, B. & Veugelers, R. (2006a), 'In Search of Complementarity in Innovation Strategy: Internal R&D and External Knowledge Acquisition', *Management Science* **52**(1), 68–82.

**URL:** http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-33644541420&partnerID=tZOtx3y1

Cassiman, B. & Veugelers, R. (2006*b*), 'In search of complementarity in innovation strategy: Internal r&d and external knowledge acquisition', *Management science* **52**(1), 68–82.

Cefis, E. & Orsenigo, L. (2001), 'The persistence of innovative activities: A cross-countries and cross-sectors comparative analysis', *Research Policy* **30**, 1139–1158.

**URL:** http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0048733300001396

Cohen, W. M. & Levinthal, D. A. (1989), 'Innovation and Learning: The two faces of R & D', *The Economic Journal* **99**(397), 569–596.

**URL:** http://www.jstor.org/stable/2393553

Cohen, W. M. & Levinthal, D. a. (1990), 'Absorptive Capacity: A New Perspective on and Innovation Learning', *Administrative Science Quarterly* **35**(1), 128–152.

Conte, A. & Vivarelli, M. (2005), 'One or many knowledge production functions? mapping innovative activity using microdata'.

Dosi, G. & Nelson, R. R. (2010), Technical Change and Industrial Dynamics as Evolutionary Processes, *in* B. H. Hall & N. Rosenberg, eds, 'Handbook of the Economics of Innovation', Elsevier-North Holland, pp. 51–127.

Duguet, E. & Monjon, S. (2002), 'Creative Destruction and the Innovative Core: Is Innovation Persistent at the Firm Level?', *University College London, Discussion Ppaer* 02\_07.

 $\textbf{URL:}\ https://intranet.tudelft.nl/fileadmin/Faculteit/TBM/Actueel/Nieuws/Nieuws\_2014/doc/Prestigiouws/Nieuws\_2014/doc/Prestigiouws/Nieuws_2014/doc/Prestigiouws_2014/doc/Prestigiouws_2014/doc/Prestigiouws_2014/doc/Prestigiouws_2014/doc/Prestigiouws_2014/doc/Prestigiouws_2014/doc/Prestigiouws_2014/doc/Prestigiouws_2014/doc/Prestigiouws_2014/doc/Prestigiouws_2014/doc/Prestigiouws_2014/doc/Prestigiouws_2014/doc/Presti$ 

Duranton, G. & Puga, D. (2005), 'From sectoral to functional urban specialisation', *Journal of Urban Economics* **57**(2), 343–370.

**URL:** http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0094119004001093

Feldman, M. (2003), 'The locational dynamics of the us biotech industry: knowledge externalities and the anchor hypothesis', *Industry and Innovation* **10**(3), 311–329.

Foss, N. J. (1996), 'Knowledge-based approaches to the theory of the firm: Some critical comments', *Organization science* **7**(5), 470–476.

Hashi, I. & Stojčić, N. (2013), 'The impact of innovation activities on firm performance using a multi-stage model: Evidence from the Community Innovation Survey 4', *Research Policy* **42**(2), 353–366.

**URL:** http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0048733312002272

Johansson, B. & Klaesson, J. (2011), 'Agglomeration dynamics of business services', *The annals of regional science* **47**(2), 373–391.

Johansson, B. & Lööf, H. (2014), 'Innovation strategies combining internal and external knowledge', *Routledge Handbook of the Economics of Knowledge* pp. 29–52.

Klette, T. & Kortum, S. (2004), 'Innovating firms and aggregate innovation', *Journal of Political Economy* **112**(5), 986–1018.

**URL:** http://www.nber.org/papers/w8819

- Levinsohn, J. & Petrin, A. (2003), 'Production Functions Estimating to Control for Using Inputs Unobservables', *The Review of Economic Studies* **70**(2), 317–341.
- Lööf, H. & Johansson, B. (2014), 'R&d strategy, metropolitan externalities and productivity: evidence from sweden', *Industry and Innovation* **21**(2), 141–154.
- Love, J. H. & Roper, S. (2009), 'Organizing the innovation process: complementarities in innovation networking', *Industry and Innovation* **16**(3), 273–290.
- Lychagin, S., Pinkse, J., Slade, M. E. & Reenen, J. V. (2016), 'Spillovers in space: Does geography matter?', *The Journal of Industrial Economics* **64**(2), 295–335.
- Nelson, R. R. & Winter, S. (1982), 'An evolutionary theory of economic change', pp. 929–964.
- Papke, L. E. & Wooldridge, J. M. (2005), 'A computational trick for delta-method standard errors', *Economics Letters* **86**(3), 413–417.
  - **URL:** http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0165176504003143
- Peters, B. (2009), 'Persistence of innovation: stylised facts and panel data evidence', *The Journal of Technology Transfer* **34**(2), 226–243.

  URL: http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s10961-007-9072-9
- Peters, B., Roberts, M. J., Voung, V. A. & Fryges, H. (2013), 'Estimating Dynamic R & D Demand : An Analysis of Costs and Long-Run Benefits Introduction -

Linking R & D and Firm Performance', NEBR Working paper (No. w19374).

- Phene, A. & Almeida, P. (2008), 'Innovation in multinational subsidiaries: The role of knowledge assimilation and subsidiary capabilities', *Journal of International Business Studies* **39**(5), 901–919.
- Raymond, W., Mohnen, P., Palm, F. & Van Der Loeff, S. S. (2010), 'Persistence of innovation in Dutch manufacturing: Is it spurious', *Review of Economics and Statistics* **92**(3), 495–504.

Roodman, D. (2009), 'How to do xtabond2: An introduction to difference and system GMM in Stata', *Stata Journal* **9**, 86–136.

Rosenberg, N. (1990), 'Why do firms do basic research (with their own money)?', *Research Policy* **19**(2), 165–174.

**URL:** http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0048733390900469

Schumpeter, J. (1934), 'Capitalism, socialism, and democracy'.

Teece, D. (2010), Technological Innovation and the Theory of the Firm: The Role of Enterprise-Level Knowledge, Complementarities, and (Dynamic) Capabilities, *in* B. Hall & N. Rosenberg, eds, 'Handbook of the Economics of Innovation', Elsevier, North-Holland.

Vega-Redondo, F. (2003), *Economics and the Theory of Games*, Cambridge university press.

Weibull, J. W. (1976), 'An axiomatic approach to the measurement of accessibility', *Regional science and urban economics* **6**(4), 357–379.

## **Tables**

Table 1: Descriptive statistics for 1997–2008. Innovation strategy based on patent applications and the CIS panel (mean and standard errors reported)

		(1)		(2)		(3)
	No	n R&I	Occas	ional R&I	Persis	stent R&I
	Patent	CIS panel	Patent	CIS panel	Patent	CIS panel
TFP growth a,c	0.05	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.03
	(0.46)	(0.37)	(0.48)	(0.37)	(0.49)	(0.41)
Human capital $^b$	0.11	0.08	0.15	0.12	0.22	0.22
	(0.17)	(0.14)	(0.19)	(0.18)	(0.21)	(0.22)
Firm size <sup>a</sup>	3.04	3.28	3.78	3.75	4.83	4.79
	(0.97)	(1.17)	(1.28)	(1.38)	(1.61)	(1.70)
Firm size growth	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.03
	(0.38)	(0.27)	(0.30)	(0.28)	(0.26)	(0.24)
Physical capital <sup>a,c</sup>	13.46	14.05	14.90	14.83	16.36	16.33
	(2.85)	(2.98)	(2.58)	(2.66)	(2.72)	(2.91)
Domestic Non Affiliated Firms	0.45	0.38	0.20	0.26	0.08	0.12
Domestic Affiliated Firms	0.34	0.33	0.23	0.30	0.10	0.17
Domestic Multinational Firms	0.11	0.13	0.36	0.20	0.47	0.39
Foreign Multinational Firms	0.10	0.16	0.21	0.24	0.35	0.32
High tech manufact <sup>b</sup>	0.01	0.04	0.07	0.06	0.17	0.18
Medium-High tech manu $^b$	0.05	0.12	0.28	0.19	0.36	0.28
Medium-Low tech manu <sup>b</sup>	0.09	0.15	0.21	0.18	0.17	0.15
Low tech manu <sup>b</sup>	0.10	0.24	0.12	0.27	0.06	0.16
Knowledge-intense $serv^b$	0.27	0.17	0.14	0.16	0.12	0.18
Other serv $^b$	0.46	0.25	0.18	0.12	0.10	0.04
Mining <sup>b</sup>	0.02	0.03	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.01
Observations total	274,396	9,633	12,053	7,810	3,713	3,616
Unique firms	33,497	1,165	1,255	936	356	438
Observations, fraction	0.95	0.46	0.04	0.37	0.01	0.17

Note: a) Log, b) Fraction, c) Real prices

Table 2: Distribution of patent applications during the 1997–2008 period by firms in Sweden across regions and groups

	Number of	Occasional	Persistent
	Applications	R&I, %	R&I, %
Knowledge access: Low	6,947	0,25	0,75
Knowledge access: Medium	31,089	0,05	0,95
Knowledge access: High	28,590	0,06	0,94
10-25 employees	3,308	0,47	0,53
26-99 employees	5,860	0,32	0,68
100+ employees	57,458	0,03	0,97
Domestic Non Affiliated Firms	2,427	0,39	0,61
Domestic Affiliated Firms	2,301	0,37	0,63
Domestic Multinational Firms	38,364	0,05	0,95
Foreign Multinational Firms	23,534	0,05	0,95
High tech manufacturing	31,572	0,02	0,98
Medium-High tech manufacturing	16,361	0,10	0,90
Medium-Low tech manufacturing	5,510	0,15	0,85
Low tech manufacturing	3,549	0,14	0,86
Knowledge-intensive services	7,202	0,12	0,88
Other services	2,339	0,35	0,65
Mining	93	0,22	0,78

Table 3: Transition Matrix

	Access to external	No	Occasional	Persistent
	knowledge	R&I,%	R&I,%	R&I,%
Patent panel	Low	99.3	99.6	99.1
	Medium	99.1	99.1	99.3
	High	99.4	98.9	99.0
CIS panel	Low	99.5	99.5	99.6
_	Medium	99.5	99.1	99.5
	High	99.4	98.9	99.5

Note: The matrix shows that all firms in all three categories of geographical areas tend to remain in the same place across time.

Table 4: Combinatorial categories of internal (I) and external (K) knowledge

	$K_1$	$K_2$	$K_3$
$I_1$	$\gamma_{11}$	$\gamma_{21}$	$\gamma_{31}$
$I_2$	$\gamma_{12}$	$\gamma_{22}$	$\gamma_{32}$
$I_3$	$\gamma_{13}$	$\gamma_{23}$	$\gamma_{33}$

Table 5: Two-step system GMM estimates of TFP growth

Innovation variable Patent Panel			CIS Panel		
	Short-run	Long-run	Short-run	Long-run	
$\gamma_{11}{}^a$	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
$\gamma_{12}$	-0.005*	-0.004**	-0.012	-0.010	
	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.01)	(0.01)	
$\gamma_{13}$	0.002	0.002	0.000	0.000	
	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.01)	(0.01)	
$\gamma_{21}$	0.017***	0.015***	0.007	0.006	
	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	
$\gamma_{22}$	0.015**	0.013**	-0.002	-0.001	
	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	
$\gamma_{23}$	0.047***	0.039***	0.021*	0.018*	
•	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	
$\gamma_{31}$	0.045***	0.038***	0.062**	0.053**	
	(0.03)	(0.01)	(0.03)	(0.02)	
$\gamma_{32}$	0.085***	0.072***	0.094***	0.081***	
	(0.03)	(0.02)	(0.03)	(0.03)	
$\gamma_{33}$	0.140***	0.119***	0.112***	0.097***	
, , ,	(0.05)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.03)	
Log Firm size, growth	0.047	0.079	0.207*	0.227**	
	(0.05)	(0.05)	(0.12)	(0.11)	
$Log\ TFP\ growth_{t-1}$	-0.181**	,	-0.154	,	
	(0.06)		(0.10)		
$Log\ TFP_{t-1}$	-		_		
S	0.144***		0.289***		
	(0.04)		(0.09)		
Domestic Affiliated <sup>b</sup>	0.020**	0.017**	0.024	0.021	
	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.02)	(0.01)	
Domestic multinational $^b$	0.053***	0.045***	0.119***	0.103***	
	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.05)	(0.04)	
Foreign multinational $^b$	0.062***	0.053***		0.109***	
	(0.02)	(0.02)	(0.05)	(0.04)	
Observations	183,490	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	18,769	•	
Unique firms	29,154		2,462		
Lag limits	(4 1)		(4 3)		
Instruments	112		104		
AR(2)	0.872		0.786		
Hansen Overid.	0.278		0.137		
Diff-in-Hansen test level eq.	0.146		0.283		
Diff-in-Hansen test lag dep.	0.211		0.797		
Note: * significant at 10%: ** significant at 5%: *** significant at 1%					

Note: \* significant at 10%; \*\* significant at 5%; \*\*\* significant at 1%

Robust (GMM) standard error in parentheses. Year and sector dummies included (a) Reference group (b) Reference group is domestic non-affiliated firms

[ $\gamma_{11}$ :Non R&I and Low access]; [ $\gamma_{12}$ :Non R&I and Medium access]; [ $\gamma_{13}$ :Non R&I and High access] [ $\gamma_{21}$ :Occasional R&I and Low access]; [ $\gamma_{22}$ :Occasional R&I and Medium access]; [ $\gamma_{23}$ :Occasional R&I and High access]

[ $\gamma_{31}$ :Persistent R&I and Low access]; [ $\gamma_{32}$ :Persistent R&I and Medium access]; [ $\gamma_{33}$ Persistent R&I and High access]

Table 6: Tests for the equality of means reported as p-values

	Hypotheses	Patent panel		CIS panel	
		t-test	F-test	t-test	F-test
$\gamma_{12}=\gamma_{11}$	H1	0.054*	0.161	0.204	0.616
$\gamma_{13} = \gamma_{11}$	H1	0.339	1.000	0.213	1.000
$\gamma_{13} = \gamma_{12}$	H1	0.001***	0.002***	0.227	0.683
Joint F-test	H1		0.003***		0.355
$\gamma_{22}=\gamma_{21}$	H2	0.791	1.000	0.407	0.407
$\gamma_{23} = \gamma_{21}$	H2	0.006***	0.019**	0.218	0.653
$\gamma_{23} = \gamma_{22}$	H2	0.006***	0.018**	0.092*	0.277
Joint F-test	H2		0.013**		0.237
$\gamma_{32} = \gamma_{31}$	Н3	0.000***	0.027**	0.117	0.353
$\gamma_{33}=\gamma_{31}$	H3	0.000***	0.007***	0.022**	0.072*
$\gamma_{33} = \gamma_{32}$	H3	0.028**	0.085*	0.380	1.000
Joint F-test	H3		0.000***		0.071*
$\gamma_{31}=\gamma_{21}$	H4	0.039**	0.119	0.024**	0.074*
$\gamma_{32} = \gamma_{22}$	H4	0.000***	0.009***	0.005**	0.015**
$\gamma_{33} = \gamma_{23}$	H4	0.000***	0.002***	0.002***	0.007***
Joint F-test	H4		0.002***		0.007***

Note: The table reports Bonferroni-adjusted t-tests and joint F-tests for hypotheses H1-H4.

P-values and degrees of significance are reported.

[ $\gamma_{11}$ :Non R&I and Low access]; [ $\gamma_{12}$ :Non R&I and Medium access]; [ $\gamma_{13}$ :Non R&I and High access] [ $\gamma_{21}$ :Occasional R&I and Low access]; [ $\gamma_{22}$ :Occational R&I and Medium access]; [ $\gamma_{23}$ :Occational R&I and High access]

[ $\gamma_{31}$ :Persistent R&I and Low access]; [ $\gamma_{32}$ :Persistent R&I and Medium access]; [ $\gamma_{33}$ Persistent R&I and High access]

<sup>\*</sup> significant at 10%; \*\* significant at 5%; \*\*\* significant at 1%

## Appendix

Table A.1: Knowledge intensive producer services with more than 30% knowledge intensity in 2007

7220         Software consultancy and supply         46,1         18,45           74202         Construction and other engineering activities         38,4         16,84           65120         Monetary intermediation         32,5         12,28           74140         Business and management activities         45,2         11,16           74120         Accounting, book-keeping & auditing activities         41,2         7,71           72210         Publishing of software         50,3         5,13           74501         Labor recruitment activities         35,9         3,15           74111         Legal advisory         70,9         2,45           74850         Secretarial and translation activities         32,9         2,00           65220         Sec and costal water transport         42,8         1,90           74201         Architectural activities         67,1         1,90           61102         Sea and costal water transport         42,8         1,90           74201         Architectural activities         67,1         1,84           73101         R&D medical and pharmaceutical science         67,1         1,84           74130         Market research and public opinion polling         36,1         0,97	SIC 2002	Industry Knowledge Fraction			
74202         Construction and other engineering activities         38,4         16,84           65120         Monetary intermediation         32,5         12,28           74140         Business and management activities         45,2         11,16           74120         Accounting, book-keeping & auditing activities         41,2         7,71           72210         Publishing of software         50,3         5,13           74501         Labor recruitment activities         35,9         3,98           73102         R&D on engineering and technology         68,5         3,15           74111         Legal advisory         70,9         2,45           74850         Secretarial and translation activities         32,9         2,00           65220         Credit granting         31,7         1,90           61102         Sea and costal water transport         42,8         1,90           74201         Architectural activities         67,1         1,84           73103         R&D medical and pharmaceutical science         69,7         1,50           73101         R&D on agricultural science         67,1         1,92           74120         R&D on agricultural science         67,1         0,92           74872			intensity,%	KIPS30	
65120         Monetary intermediation         32,5         12,28           74140         Business and management activities         45,2         11,16           74120         Accounting, book-keeping & auditing activities         41,2         7,71           72210         Publishing of software         50,3         5,13           74501         Labor recruitment activities         35,9         3,98           73102         R&D on engineering and technology         68,5         3,15           74111         Legal advisory         70,9         2,45           74850         Secretarial and translation activities         32,9         2,00           65220         Credit granting         31,7         1,90           61102         Sea and costal water transport         42,8         1,90           74201         Architectural activities         67,1         1,84           73103         R&D medical and pharmaceutical science         69,7         1,50           74104         R&D on agricultural science         67,1         0,97           74130         Market research and public opinion polling         36,1         0,87           74872         Design activities         32,4         0,86           67120         Securit	7220	Software consultancy and supply	46,1	18,45	
74140         Business and management activities         45,2         11,16           74120         Accounting, book-keeping & auditing activities         41,2         7,71           72210         Publishing of software         50,3         5,13           74501         Labor recruitment activities         35,9         3,98           73102         R&D on engineering and technology         68,5         3,15           74111         Legal advisory         70,9         2,45           74850         Secretarial and translation activities         32,9         2,00           65220         Credit granting         31,7         1,90           61102         Sea and costal water transport         42,8         1,90           74201         Architectural activities         67,1         1,84           73103         R&D medical and pharmaceutical science         69,7         1,50           74104         R&D on agricultural science         69,7         1,50           74130         Market research and public opinion polling         36,1         0,87           74872         Design activities         32,4         0,86           67120         Security broking and fund management         52,7         0,84           66012	74202	Construction and other engineering activities	38,4	16,84	
74120         Accounting, book-keeping & auditing activities         41,2         7,71           72210         Publishing of software         50,3         5,13           74501         Labor recruitment activities         35,9         3,98           73102         R&D on engineering and technology         68,5         3,15           74111         Legal advisory         70,9         2,45           74850         Secretarial and translation activities         32,9         2,00           65220         Credit granting         31,7         1,90           65220         Sea and costal water transport         42,8         1,90           74201         Architectural activities         67,1         1,84           73103         R&D medical and pharmaceutical science         69,7         1,50           73101         R&D on natural science         69,7         1,50           74104         R&D on agricultural science         67,1         0,92           74130         Market research and public opinion polling         36,1         0,87           74872         Design activities         32,4         0,86           67120         Security broking and fund management         52,7         0,84           66012         Life ins	65120	Monetary intermediation	32,5	12,28	
72210         Publishing of software         50,3         5,13           74501         Labor recruitment activities         35,9         3,98           73102         R&D on engineering and technology         68,5         3,15           74111         Legal advisory         70,9         2,45           74850         Secretarial and translation activities         32,9         2,00           65220         Credit granting         31,7         1,90           65220         Architectural activities         67,1         1,84           74201         Architectural activities         67,1         1,84           73103         R&D medical and pharmaceutical science         69,7         1,50           73101         R&D on natural science         69,7         1,50           74104         R&D on agricultural science         67,1         0,92           74130         Market research and public opinion polling         36,1         0,87           74872         Design activities         32,4         0,86           67120         Security broking and fund management         52,7         0,84           66012         Life insurance         33,8         0,79           72400         Data base activities         31,7 <td>74140</td> <td>Business and management activities</td> <td>45,2</td> <td>11,16</td>	74140	Business and management activities	45,2	11,16	
74501         Labor recruitment activities         35,9         3,98           73102         R&D on engineering and technology         68,5         3,15           74111         Legal advisory         70,9         2,45           74850         Secretarial and translation activities         32,9         2,00           65220         Credit granting         31,7         1,90           61102         Sea and costal water transport         42,8         1,90           74201         Architectural activities         67,1         1,84           73103         R&D medical and pharmaceutical science         69,7         1,50           73101         R&D on natural science         67,1         0,92           74130         Market research and public opinion polling         36,1         0,87           74872         Design activities         32,4         0,86           67120         Security broking and fund management         52,7         0,84           66012         Life insurance         33,8         0,79           67202         Activities auxiliary to insurance and pension funding         31,6         0,74           72400         Data base activities         31,7         0,70           65231         Investment trust	74120	Accounting, book-keeping & auditing activities	41,2	7,71	
73102         R&D on engineering and technology         68,5         3,15           74111         Legal advisory         70,9         2,45           74850         Secretarial and translation activities         32,9         2,00           65220         Credit granting         31,7         1,90           61102         Sea and costal water transport         42,8         1,90           74201         Architectural activities         67,1         1,84           73103         R&D medical and pharmaceutical science         69,7         1,50           73101         R&D on agricultural science         69,7         1,50           74130         Market research and public opinion polling         36,1         0,92           74872         Design activities         32,4         0,86           67120         Security broking and fund management         52,7         0,84           66012         Life insurance         33,8         0,79           67202         Activities auxiliary to insurance and pension funding         31,6         0,74           72400         Data base activities         31,7         0,70           65231         Investment trust activities         49,7         0,53           74112         Advisory act	72210	Publishing of software	50,3	5,13	
74111         Legal advisory         70,9         2,45           74850         Secretarial and translation activities         32,9         2,00           65220         Credit granting         31,7         1,90           61102         Sea and costal water transport         42,8         1,90           74201         Architectural activities         67,1         1,84           73103         R&D medical and pharmaceutical science         69,7         1,50           73101         R&D on natural science         69,7         1,50           74104         R&D on agricultural science         67,1         0,92           74130         Market research and public opinion polling         36,1         0,87           74872         Design activities         32,4         0,86           67120         Security broking and fund management         52,7         0,84           66012         Life insurance         33,8         0,79           67202         Activities auxiliary to insurance and pension funding         31,6         0,74           72400         Data base activities         31,7         0,70           65231         Investment trust activities         36,5         0,58           65231         R&D on social science </td <td>74501</td> <td>Labor recruitment activities</td> <td>35,9</td> <td></td>	74501	Labor recruitment activities	35,9		
74850         Secretarial and translation activities         32,9         2,00           65220         Credit granting         31,7         1,90           61102         Sea and costal water transport         42,8         1,90           74201         Architectural activities         67,1         1,84           73103         R&D medical and pharmaceutical science         69,7         1,50           73101         R&D on natural science         74,3         0,97           74104         R&D on agricultural science         67,1         0,92           74130         Market research and public opinion polling         36,1         0,87           74872         Design activities         32,4         0,86           67120         Security broking and fund management         52,7         0,84           66012         Life insurance         33,8         0,79           67202         Activities auxiliary to insurance and pension funding         31,6         0,74           72400         Data base activities         31,7         0,70           65231         Investment trust activities         36,5         0,58           65231         Investment trust activities         49,7         0,53           74150         Management	73102	R&D on engineering and technology	68,5	3,15	
65220         Credit granting         31,7         1,90           61102         Sea and costal water transport         42,8         1,90           74201         Architectural activities         67,1         1,84           73103         R&D medical and pharmaceutical science         69,7         1,50           73101         R&D on natural science         74,3         0,97           74104         R&D on agricultural science         67,1         0,92           74130         Market research and public opinion polling         36,1         0,87           74872         Design activities         32,4         0,86           67120         Security broking and fund management         52,7         0,84           66012         Life insurance         33,8         0,79           67202         Activities auxiliary to insurance and pension funding         31,6         0,74           72400         Data base activities         31,7         0,70           65232         Unit trust activities         36,5         0,58           65231         Investment trust activities         49,7         0,53           74112         Advisory activities concerning patents and copyrights         50,2         0,45           73201         R	74111		70,9	2,45	
61102         Sea and costal water transport         42,8         1,90           74201         Architectural activities         67,1         1,84           73103         R&D medical and pharmaceutical science         69,7         1,50           73101         R&D on natural science         74,3         0,97           74104         R&D on agricultural science         67,1         0,92           74130         Market research and public opinion polling         36,1         0,87           74872         Design activities         32,4         0,86           67120         Security broking and fund management         52,7         0,84           66012         Life insurance         33,8         0,79           67202         Activities auxiliary to insurance and pension funding         31,6         0,74           72400         Data base activities         31,7         0,70           65232         Unit trust activities         36,5         0,58           65231         Investment trust activities         49,7         0,53           74112         Advisory activities concerning patents and copyrights         50,2         0,45           73201         R&D on humanities         80,1         0,27           74150 <td< td=""><td>74850</td><td>Secretarial and translation activities</td><td>32,9</td><td>2,00</td></td<>	74850	Secretarial and translation activities	32,9	2,00	
74201         Architectural activities         67,1         1,84           73103         R&D medical and pharmaceutical science         69,7         1,50           73101         R&D on natural science         74,3         0,97           74104         R&D on agricultural science         67,1         0,92           74130         Market research and public opinion polling         36,1         0,87           74872         Design activities         32,4         0,86           67120         Security broking and fund management         52,7         0,84           66012         Life insurance         33,8         0,79           67202         Activities auxiliary to insurance and pension funding         31,6         0,74           72400         Data base activities         31,7         0,70           65232         Unit trust activities         36,5         0,58           65231         Investment trust activities         49,7         0,53           74112         Advisory activities concerning patents and copyrights         50,2         0,45           73201         R&D on humanities         80,1         0,27           74150         Management activities of holding companies         34,9         0,22           67110	65220		31,7	1,90	
73103         R&D medical and pharmaceutical science         69,7         1,50           73101         R&D on natural science         74,3         0,97           74104         R&D on agricultural science         67,1         0,92           74130         Market research and public opinion polling         36,1         0,87           74872         Design activities         32,4         0,86           67120         Security broking and fund management         52,7         0,84           66012         Life insurance         33,8         0,79           67202         Activities auxiliary to insurance and pension funding         31,6         0,74           72400         Data base activities         31,7         0,70           65232         Unit trust activities         36,5         0,58           65231         Investment trust activities         49,7         0,53           74112         Advisory activities concerning patents and copyrights         50,2         0,45           73201         R&D on humanities         80,1         0,27           74150         Management activities of holding companies         34,9         0,22           67110         Administration of financial markets         48,6         0,13 <td< td=""><td>61102</td><td></td><td>42,8</td><td></td></td<>	61102		42,8		
73101         R&D on natural science         74,3         0,97           74104         R&D on agricultural science         67,1         0,92           74130         Market research and public opinion polling         36,1         0,87           74872         Design activities         32,4         0,86           67120         Security broking and fund management         52,7         0,84           66012         Life insurance         33,8         0,79           67202         Activities auxiliary to insurance and pension funding         31,6         0,74           72400         Data base activities         31,7         0,70           65232         Unit trust activities         36,5         0,58           65231         Investment trust activities         49,7         0,53           74112         Advisory activities concerning patents and copyrights         50,2         0,45           73201         R&D on social science         79,9         0,44           73202         R&D on humanities         80,1         0,27           74150         Management activities of holding companies         34,9         0,22           67110         Administration of financial markets         48,6         0,13           65110	74201		67,1	1,84	
74104         R&D on agricultural science         67,1         0,92           74130         Market research and public opinion polling         36,1         0,87           74872         Design activities         32,4         0,86           67120         Security broking and fund management         52,7         0,84           66012         Life insurance         33,8         0,79           67202         Activities auxiliary to insurance and pension funding         31,6         0,74           72400         Data base activities         31,7         0,70           65232         Unit trust activities         36,5         0,58           65231         Investment trust activities         49,7         0,53           74112         Advisory activities concerning patents and copyrights         50,2         0,45           73201         R&D on social science         79,9         0,44           73202         R&D on humanities         80,1         0,27           74150         Management activities of holding companies         34,9         0,22           67110         Administration of financial markets         48,6         0,13           65110         Central banking         54,0         0,11           66020	73103	R&D medical and pharmaceutical science	69,7	1,50	
74130         Market research and public opinion polling         36,1         0,87           74872         Design activities         32,4         0,86           67120         Security broking and fund management         52,7         0,84           66012         Life insurance         33,8         0,79           67202         Activities auxiliary to insurance and pension funding         31,6         0,74           72400         Data base activities         31,7         0,70           65232         Unit trust activities         36,5         0,58           65231         Investment trust activities         49,7         0,53           74112         Advisory activities concerning patents and copyrights         50,2         0,45           73201         R&D on social science         79,9         0,44           73202         R&D on humanities         80,1         0,27           74150         Management activities of holding companies         34,9         0,22           67110         Administration of financial markets         48,6         0,13           65110         Central banking         54,0         0,11           66020         Pension funding         40,6         0,09           73105         Interdiscipl	73101		74,3	0,97	
74872         Design activities         32,4         0,86           67120         Security broking and fund management         52,7         0,84           66012         Life insurance         33,8         0,79           67202         Activities auxiliary to insurance and pension funding         31,6         0,74           72400         Data base activities         31,7         0,70           65232         Unit trust activities         36,5         0,58           65231         Investment trust activities         49,7         0,53           74112         Advisory activities concerning patents and copyrights         50,2         0,45           73201         R&D on social science         79,9         0,44           73202         R&D on humanities         80,1         0,27           74150         Management activities of holding companies         34,9         0,22           67110         Administration of financial markets         48,6         0,13           65110         Central banking         54,0         0,11           66020         Pension funding         40,6         0,09           73105         Interdisciplinary R&D on natural science & Eng.         69,9         0,08           65210         Financi	74104		67,1	0,92	
67120         Security broking and fund management         52,7         0,84           66012         Life insurance         33,8         0,79           67202         Activities auxiliary to insurance and pension funding         31,6         0,74           72400         Data base activities         31,7         0,70           65232         Unit trust activities         36,5         0,58           65231         Investment trust activities         49,7         0,53           74112         Advisory activities concerning patents and copyrights         50,2         0,45           73201         R&D on social science         79,9         0,44           73202         R&D on humanities         80,1         0,27           74150         Management activities of holding companies         34,9         0,22           67110         Administration of financial markets         48,6         0,13           65110         Central banking         54,0         0,11           66020         Pension funding         40,6         0,09           73105         Interdisciplinary R&D on natural science & Eng.         69,9         0,08           65210         Financial leasing         31,2         0,06           73201         Interdi	74130	Market research and public opinion polling	36,1	0,87	
66012         Life insurance         33,8         0,79           67202         Activities auxiliary to insurance and pension funding         31,6         0,74           72400         Data base activities         31,7         0,70           65232         Unit trust activities         36,5         0,58           65231         Investment trust activities         49,7         0,53           74112         Advisory activities concerning patents and copyrights         50,2         0,45           73201         R&D on social science         79,9         0,44           73202         R&D on humanities         80,1         0,27           74150         Management activities of holding companies         34,9         0,22           67110         Administration of financial markets         48,6         0,13           65110         Central banking         54,0         0,11           66020         Pension funding         40,6         0,09           73105         Interdisciplinary R&D on natural science & Eng.         69,9         0,08           65210         Financial leasing         31,2         0,06           73201         Interdisciplinary R&D on humanities & social science         77,8         0,04	74872	Design activities	32,4	0,86	
67202         Activities auxiliary to insurance and pension funding         31,6         0,74           72400         Data base activities         31,7         0,70           65232         Unit trust activities         36,5         0,58           65231         Investment trust activities         49,7         0,53           74112         Advisory activities concerning patents and copyrights         50,2         0,45           73201         R&D on social science         79,9         0,44           73202         R&D on humanities         80,1         0,27           74150         Management activities of holding companies         34,9         0,22           67110         Administration of financial markets         48,6         0,13           65110         Central banking         54,0         0,11           66020         Pension funding         40,6         0,09           73105         Interdisciplinary R&D on natural science & Eng.         69,9         0,08           65210         Financial leasing         31,2         0,06           73201         Interdisciplinary R&D on humanities & social science         77,8         0,04	67120		52,7	0,84	
72400         Data base activities         31,7         0,70           65232         Unit trust activities         36,5         0,58           65231         Investment trust activities         49,7         0,53           74112         Advisory activities concerning patents and copyrights         50,2         0,45           73201         R&D on social science         79,9         0,44           73202         R&D on humanities         80,1         0,27           74150         Management activities of holding companies         34,9         0,22           67110         Administration of financial markets         48,6         0,13           65110         Central banking         54,0         0,11           66020         Pension funding         40,6         0,09           73105         Interdisciplinary R&D on natural science & Eng.         69,9         0,08           65210         Financial leasing         31,2         0,06           73201         Interdisciplinary R&D on humanities & social science         77,8         0,04	66012		33,8		
65232         Unit trust activities         36,5         0,58           65231         Investment trust activities         49,7         0,53           74112         Advisory activities concerning patents and copyrights         50,2         0,45           73201         R&D on social science         79,9         0,44           73202         R&D on humanities         80,1         0,27           74150         Management activities of holding companies         34,9         0,22           67110         Administration of financial markets         48,6         0,13           65110         Central banking         54,0         0,11           66020         Pension funding         40,6         0,09           73105         Interdisciplinary R&D on natural science & Eng.         69,9         0,08           65210         Financial leasing         31,2         0,06           73201         Interdisciplinary R&D on humanities & social science         77,8         0,04	67202	Activities auxiliary to insurance and pension funding	31,6	0,74	
65231         Investment trust activities         49,7         0,53           74112         Advisory activities concerning patents and copyrights         50,2         0,45           73201         R&D on social science         79,9         0,44           73202         R&D on humanities         80,1         0,27           74150         Management activities of holding companies         34,9         0,22           67110         Administration of financial markets         48,6         0,13           65110         Central banking         54,0         0,11           66020         Pension funding         40,6         0,09           73105         Interdisciplinary R&D on natural science & Eng.         69,9         0,08           65210         Financial leasing         31,2         0,06           73201         Interdisciplinary R&D on humanities & social science         77,8         0,04			<u> </u>		
74112         Advisory activities concerning patents and copyrights         50,2         0,45           73201         R&D on social science         79,9         0,44           73202         R&D on humanities         80,1         0,27           74150         Management activities of holding companies         34,9         0,22           67110         Administration of financial markets         48,6         0,13           65110         Central banking         54,0         0,11           66020         Pension funding         40,6         0,09           73105         Interdisciplinary R&D on natural science & Eng.         69,9         0,08           65210         Financial leasing         31,2         0,06           73201         Interdisciplinary R&D on humanities & social science         77,8         0,04		Unit trust activities	36,5	0,58	
73201         R&D on social science         79,9         0,44           73202         R&D on humanities         80,1         0,27           74150         Management activities of holding companies         34,9         0,22           67110         Administration of financial markets         48,6         0,13           65110         Central banking         54,0         0,11           66020         Pension funding         40,6         0,09           73105         Interdisciplinary R&D on natural science & Eng.         69,9         0,08           65210         Financial leasing         31,2         0,06           73201         Interdisciplinary R&D on humanities & social science         77,8         0,04	65231		49,7		
73202         R&D on humanities         80,1         0,27           74150         Management activities of holding companies         34,9         0,22           67110         Administration of financial markets         48,6         0,13           65110         Central banking         54,0         0,11           66020         Pension funding         40,6         0,09           73105         Interdisciplinary R&D on natural science & Eng.         69,9         0,08           65210         Financial leasing         31,2         0,06           73201         Interdisciplinary R&D on humanities & social science         77,8         0,04		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
74150Management activities of holding companies34,90,2267110Administration of financial markets48,60,1365110Central banking54,00,1166020Pension funding40,60,0973105Interdisciplinary R&D on natural science & Eng.69,90,0865210Financial leasing31,20,0673201Interdisciplinary R&D on humanities & social science77,80,04					
67110         Administration of financial markets         48,6         0,13           65110         Central banking         54,0         0,11           66020         Pension funding         40,6         0,09           73105         Interdisciplinary R&D on natural science & Eng.         69,9         0,08           65210         Financial leasing         31,2         0,06           73201         Interdisciplinary R&D on humanities & social science         77,8         0,04					
65110         Central banking         54,0         0,11           66020         Pension funding         40,6         0,09           73105         Interdisciplinary R&D on natural science & Eng.         69,9         0,08           65210         Financial leasing         31,2         0,06           73201         Interdisciplinary R&D on humanities & social science         77,8         0,04		Management activities of holding companies			
66020Pension funding40,60,0973105Interdisciplinary R&D on natural science & Eng.69,90,0865210Financial leasing31,20,0673201Interdisciplinary R&D on humanities & social science77,80,04			48,6	0,13	
73105Interdisciplinary R&D on natural science & Eng.69,90,0865210Financial leasing31,20,0673201Interdisciplinary R&D on humanities & social science77,80,04		<u> </u>	54,0		
Financial leasing 31,2 0,06 73201 Interdisciplinary R&D on humanities & social science 77,8 0,04		<u> </u>	40,6		
73201 Interdisciplinary R&D on humanities & social science 77,8 0,04		<u> </u>			
		<u>U</u>	31,2	0,06	
70110 Development of selling of real estate 40,5 0,02	73201	1 /	77,8	0.04	
	70110	Development of selling of real estate	40,5	0,02	

Table A.2: Regression results for pooled OLS estimates of TFP growth

Innovation variable	TPF growth	TPF growth
	PATENT	CIS
$\gamma_{11}{}^a$	0.000	0.000
$\gamma_{12}$	-0.004**	-0.006
	(0.002)	(0.007)
$\gamma_{13}$	0.004*	0.003
	(0.002)	(0.008)
$\gamma_{21}$	0.014***	-0.003
	(0.006)	(0.007)
$\gamma_{22}$	0.012	0.001
	(0.008)	(0.008)
$\gamma_{23}$	0.044***	0.014
	(0.009)	(0.009)
$\gamma_{31}$	0.035***	0.014
	(0.010)	(0.011)
$\gamma_{32}$	0.073***	0.038***
	(0.012)	(0.012)
$\gamma_{33}$	0.144***	0.063***
	(0.020)	(0.013)
Log Firm size, growth	0.315***	0.215***
-	(0.008)	(0.017)
$Log\ TFP\ growth_{t-1}$	-0.329***	-0.327***
	(0.006)	(0.018)
$Log\ TFP_{t-1}$	-0.123***	-0.126***
	(0.003)	(0.007)
Domestic Affiliated <sup>b</sup>	0.015***	-0.009
	(0.002)	(0.005)
Domestic multinational <sup>b</sup>	0.044***	0.030***
	(0.003)	(0.008)
Foreign owned multinational $^b$	0.054***	0.032***
-	(0.004)	(0.008)
Observations	183,490	18,769

Note: \* significant at 10%; \*\* significant at 5%; \*\*\* significant at 1%

Robust standard error in parentheses, Year and sector dummies included.

[  $\gamma_{11}$  :Non R&I and Low access]; [  $\gamma_{12}$  :Non R&I and Medium access]; [  $\gamma_{13}$  :Non R&I and High access]

[ $\gamma_{21}$ :Occational R&I and Low access]; [ $\gamma_{22}$ :Occational R&I and Medium access]; [ $\gamma_{23}$ :Occational R&I and High access]

[ $\gamma_{31}$ :Persistent R&I and Low access]; [ $\gamma_{32}$ :Persistent R&I and Medium access]; [ $\gamma_{33}$ Persistent R&I and High access]

<sup>(</sup>a) Reference group (b) Reference group is domestic non-affiliated firms